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#### Abstract

A nonlinear congruential pseudorandom number generator is studied where the modulus is a power of two. Investigation of this generator was suggested by Knuth [7]. A simple necessary and sufficient condition is given for this generator to have the maximal period length.


1. Introduction and Notation. The most frequently used pseudorandom number generators are the linear recursive congruential generators. It is well known (see, e.g., Beyer et al. [1] and Knuth [6]) that the vectors of $d$ consecutive pseudorandom numbers form a sublattice of the $d$-dimensional full integer lattice. Marsaglia [8] regards this lattice structure as a defect of these generators, and in Eichenauer and Lehn [2] a simulation problem is described which supports Marsaglia's view.

Therefore, nonlinear congruential pseudorandom number generators are introduced and studied (see, e.g., Eichenauer and Lehn [2], [3], Eichenauer et al. [4], [5] and Knuth [6, p. 25]). In particular, the nonlinear generator

$$
x_{n+1} \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
a \cdot x_{n}^{-1}+b(\bmod p), & x_{n} \geq 1,  \tag{1}\\
b, & x_{n}=0,
\end{array} \quad x_{n+1} \in \mathbf{Z}_{p}, n \geq 0\right.
$$

is analyzed in Eichenauer and Lehn [2], where $p$ is a prime number, $x_{0} \in \mathbf{Z}_{p}=$ $\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}, a, b \in \mathbf{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$, and $x_{n}^{-1}$ denotes the inverse element of $x_{n}$ in the Galois field GF $(p)$. In this paper the nonlinear generator

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1} \equiv a \cdot x_{n}^{-1}+b\left(\bmod 2^{e}\right), \quad x_{n+1} \in \mathbf{Z}_{2^{e}}, n \geq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is studied, where $e \geq 3$ and $a, b, x_{0} \in \mathbf{Z}_{2^{e}}=\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{e}-1\right\}$ with $a \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, $b \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, and $x_{0} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. Then $x_{n} \equiv 1(\bmod 2), n \geq 0$, and hence the inverse element $x_{n}^{-1}$ of $x_{n}$ in $\mathbf{Z}_{2^{e}}$ is well defined, and the generator (2) is purely periodic. In this note a simple necessary and sufficient condition is derived for this generator to have the maximal period length $2^{e-1}$.
2. Maximal Period Length. The following technical lemma is used in the proof of the Theorem.

Lemma. Consider the matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
4 \alpha+1 & 4 \beta+2
\end{array}\right)
$$

[^0]for some fixed nonnegative integers $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Then
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{2^{f-1}} \cdot\binom{1}{1} \equiv\binom{2^{f}(\alpha+\beta)+1}{2^{f}(\alpha+\beta+1)+1}\left(\bmod 2^{f+1}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

for every $f \geq 3$.
Proof. A short calculation shows that

$$
A^{4}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
16 \gamma_{3}+8 \alpha+5 & 16 \delta_{3}+8 \beta+12 \\
16 \varepsilon_{3}+8 \beta+12 & 16 \eta_{3}+8 \alpha+13
\end{array}\right)
$$

for some nonnegative integers $\gamma_{3}, \delta_{3}, \varepsilon_{3}$ and $\eta_{3}$. It then follows by induction that

$$
A^{2^{f-1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma_{f} \cdot 2^{f+1}+\alpha \cdot 2^{f}+2^{f-1}+1 & \delta_{f} \cdot 2^{f+1}+\beta \cdot 2^{f}+3 \cdot 2^{f-1} \\
\varepsilon_{f} \cdot 2^{f+1}+\beta \cdot 2^{f}+3 \cdot 2^{f-1} & \eta_{f} \cdot 2^{f+1}+\alpha \cdot 2^{f}+3 \cdot 2^{f-1}+1
\end{array}\right)
$$

for some nonnegative integers $\gamma_{f}, \delta_{f}, \varepsilon_{f}, \eta_{f}$ and every $f \geq 3$, which yields (3).
THEOREM. A nonlinear generator (2) has maximal period length $2^{e-1}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \quad \text { and } \quad b \equiv 2(\bmod 4) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In what follows, $x_{0}=1$ is assumed without loss of generality. First, it is assumed that the generator (2) has maximal period length $2^{e-1}$ for some $e \geq 3$. Hence, it has period length 2 for $e=2$ and period length 4 for $e=3$. Therefore, $x_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and hence $x_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Since $x^{-1} \equiv x(\bmod 8)$ for $x \in\{1,3,5,7\}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2} \equiv a(a+b)+b \equiv(a+1) b+1(\bmod 8) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $(a+1) b \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$ which yields (4).
Now we assume that conditions (4) are satisfied. It will be shown by induction that the generator (2) has period length $2^{f-1}$ modulo $2^{f}$ for every integer $f$ with $3 \leq f \leq e$. For $f=3$, this follows at once from (4) and (5). If it is valid for some $f$ with $3 \leq f \leq e-1$, then

$$
x_{n} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{f+1}\right), \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}_{2 f} \backslash\left\{0,2^{f-1}\right\} .
$$

Since the generator (2) is purely periodic, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2^{f-1}} \equiv 2^{f}+1\left(\bmod 2^{f+1}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $y_{0}=y_{1}=1$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n} \equiv b y_{n-1}+a y_{n-2}\left(\bmod 2^{e}\right), \quad y_{n} \in \mathbf{Z}_{2^{e}}, n \geq 2 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a+b \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, it follows that $y_{n} \equiv 1(\bmod 2), n \geq 0$. Therefore (7) implies that

$$
y_{n+1} \cdot y_{n}^{-1} \equiv a\left(y_{n} \cdot y_{n-1}^{-1}\right)^{-1}+b\left(\bmod 2^{e}\right), \quad n \geq 1
$$

Hence $x_{0}=y_{0}=y_{1}=1$, and (2) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n} \equiv y_{n+1} \cdot y_{n}^{-1}\left(\bmod 2^{e}\right), \quad n \geq 0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of (4) there exist nonnegative integers $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that $a=4 \alpha+1$ and $b=4 \beta+2$. Therefore (7) yields

$$
\binom{y_{n}}{y_{n+1}} \equiv A^{n} \cdot\binom{1}{1}\left(\bmod 2^{e}\right), \quad n \geq 0
$$

where the matrix $A$ is defined as in the lemma. Hence, the lemma implies that

$$
y_{2 f-1} \equiv 2^{f}(\alpha+\beta)+1\left(\bmod 2^{f+1}\right)
$$

and

$$
y_{2^{f-1}+1} \equiv 2^{f}(\alpha+\beta+1)+1\left(\bmod 2^{f+1}\right)
$$

Since $y_{2^{f-1}}^{-1} \equiv y_{2^{f-1}}\left(\bmod 2^{f+1}\right)$, it follows by (8) that (6) is valid.
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